arXiv:1412.8709v2 [math.CO] 7 Sep 2015

Connected even factors in the square of essentially 2-edge-connected graphs

Jan Ekstein* Baoyindureng Wu† Liming Xiong‡

September 8, 2015

Abstract

An essentially k-edge connected graph G is a connected graph such that deleting less than k edges from G cannot result in two nontrivial components. In this paper we prove that if an essentially 2-edge-connected graph G satisfies that for any pair of leaves at distance 4 in G there exists another leaf of G that has distance 2 to one of them, then the square G^2 has a connected even factor with maximum degree at most 4. Moreover we show that, in general, the square of essentially 2-edge-connected graph does not contain a connected even factor with bounded maximum degree.

Keywords: connected even factors; (essentially) 2-edge connected graphs; square of graphs

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C38,05C48

1 Introduction

We consider only finite undirected simple graphs. For terminology and notation not defined in this paper we refer to [15]. Let G be a connected graph. For vertices x, y of G, let $N_G(x)$ denote the *neighborhood* of x in G,

*University of West Bohemia, Pilsen, Czech Republic,
e-mail: ekstein@kma.zcu.cz.

†Xinjiang University, Urumgi, Xinjiang, P.R.China,
e-mail: baoyin@xju.edu.cn.

‡Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, P.R.China,
e-mail: lmxiong@bit.edu.cn.

$d_G(x) = |N_G(x)|$ the *degree* of x in G, and $\mathrm{dist}_G(x, y)$ the *distance* between x, y in G. The *square* of a graph G, denoted by G^2, is the graph with same vertex set as G in which two vertices are adjacent if their distance in G is at most 2. Thus $G \subseteq G^2$. There are several papers (e.g. see [2], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], and [10]) about hamiltonian properties in the square of a graph. This paper deals with connected even factors which generalize some previous known results.

A *factor* in a graph G is a spanning subgraph of G. A *connected even factor* in G is a connected factor in G in which every vertex has positive even degree. A $[2, 2s]$-*factor* is a connected even factor in G in which every vertex has degree at most $2s$. Some results of the existence of such kind factors on forbidden subgraphs have been appeared, for examples see [1], [11], and [13]. Since a hamiltonian cycle is a $[2, 2s]$-factor with $s = 1$, the minimum s in a $[2, 2s]$-factor of a graph can be seen as a measure for how close a graph is to become hamiltonian. Furthermore we know from [14] that it is NP-complete to determine whether the square of a graph is hamiltonian. Therefore the determination of minimum s in a $[2, 2s]$-factor in the square of a graph is also NP-complete.

The result by Fleischner in [6] concerning the existence of a hamiltonian cycle (a $[2, 2]$-factor) in the square of 2-connected graph is well known. Recently, Müttel and Rautenbach in [12] gave a shorter proof of this result.

Theorem 1. **[6]** *If G is a 2-connected graph and v_1 and v_2 are two distinct vertices of G, then G^2 contains a hamiltonian cycle C such that both edges of C incident with v_1 and one edge of C incident with v_2 belong to G. Furthermore, if v_1 and v_2 are neighbors in C, then these are three distinct edges.*

Theorem 1 was a base for proving the following theorem by Abderrezzak et al. in [4] using forbidden subgraphs. The graph $S(H)$ is obtained from a graph H by subdividing each edge of H exactly once.

Theorem 2. **[4]** *If G is a connected graph such that every induced $S(K_{1,3})$ has at least three edges in a block of degree at most 2, then G^2 is hamiltonian.*

Theorem 2 was generalized by Ekstein et al. in [2] for $[2, 2s]$-factors.

Theorem 3. **[2]** *Let s be a positive integer and G be a connected graph such that every induced $S(K_{1,2s+1})$ has at least three edges in a block of degree at most two. Then G^2 has a $[2, 2s]$-factor.*

Let G be a connected graph. Recall that a graph G is *essentially k-edge connected* if deleting less than k edges from G cannot result in two nontrivial components. In this paper, we shall answer the question how it is for the existence of a $[2, 2s]$-factor in the square of a graph with 2-edge (or essentially 2-edge)-connectivity instead of (vertex) connectivity of a graph.

A vertex of degree 1 is called *a leaf*. A cut vertex y is *trivial* in G, if y is not a cut vertex in $G - M$, where M is a set of all leaves adjacent to y, otherwise is *non-trivial*. If $M = \{x\}$ and the neighbor of x is a trivial cut vertex of G, then x is called *a bad leaf*. *A trivial bridge* is a cut-edge of G containing a leaf, otherwise is *non-trivial*. *A bad bridge* is a trivial bridge of G adjacent to a bad leaf. For illustration see Fig. 1.



Figure 1: In this graph, c_1, c_2 are trivial cut vertices, c_3, c_4 are non-trivial cut vertices, x is a bad leaf, y_1, y_2, z are leaves, b_1 is a bad bridge, b_2, b_3, b_4 are trivial bridges, b_5 is a non-trivial bridge, and B_1, B_2, B_3 are cyclic blocks.

Firstly, we look at the graph in Fig. 2, from which one may see the following result.

Theorem 4. *For any fixed positive integer s, there exists an infinite class of essentially 2-edge-connected graphs G such that G^2 has no $[2, 2s]$-factor, even if the resulting graph obtained from G by deleting its all leaves is 2-connected.*

Proof. Note that the graph G in Fig. 2 is an essentially 2-edge-connected graph. Since every leaf v_i of G has degree exactly 3 in G^2, at least one edge of v_ix, v_iy have to be used in any possible $[2, 4]$-factor of G^2. Therefore, G^2 has no $[2, 2s]$-factor since G has $4s + 1$ such leaves. □



Figure 2: Essentially 2-edge connected graphs G such that their square contains no $[2, 2s]$-factor, where G_1 and G_2 are any essentially 2-edge connected graphs.

On the other hand, we may show the following result, which is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a connected graph without non-trivial bridges and without any two bad leaves at distance exactly 4. Then G^2 has a $[2, 4]$-factor.*

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorem 5.

Corollary 6. *If G is a 2-edge connected graph, then G^2 contains a $[2, 4]$-factor.*

Corollary 7. *If G is an essentially 2-edge connected graph without bad leaves, then G^2 contains a $[2, 4]$-factor.*

Corollary 8. *Let G be a connected graph without non-trivial bridges. If any two bad leaves have distance at least 5 in G, then G^2 has a $[2, 4]$-factor.*

Note that the graph in Fig. 2 also shows that the distance 5 in Corollary 8 can not be replaced by distance 4.

2 A *Useful lemma*

Before presenting this lemma, we need some additional notation. *Block graph* of a graph G, denoted by $BC(G)$, is the graph whose vertex set consists of

all blocks and cut vertices of G, and two vertices are adjacent in $BC(G)$ if one of them is a block of G and the second one is its vertex. It is easy to see that $BC(G)$ is a tree for a connected graph G. Note that for any tree, we may choose any vertex as its root. Hence without loss of generality, we may assume that $B_1, \ldots, B_t$ be all blocks of G such that B_1 corresponds to the root of $BC(G)$. For a cut-vertex v of G, *the parent block* of v is the block containing v and its corresponding vertex in $BC(G)$ has the smallest distance to the root of $BC(G)$. The remaining blocks containing v are called *children blocks* of v with respect to the root of $BC(G)$.

The following lemma, we call it a *Useful lemma*, is a key for the proof of our main result (Theorem 5).

Lemma 9. *(Useful lemma) Let G be a connected graph without non-trivial bridges and without bad leaves (except $K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}$) and u be a vertex of G that is neither a cut vertex nor a leaf (if any).*
Then G^2 has a $[2,4]$-factor F such that

a) $d_F(x) = 2$ for any vertex x that is not a cut vertex of G;

b) both edges of F incident with u belong to G;

c) for each cut vertex y of G it holds that $d_F(y) = 4$ and at least two edges of F incident with y belong to G, moreover if y is a trivial cut vertex, then these two edges are trivial bridges;

d) for any cut vertex y of G, the two edges incident with u in F are distinct from the two edges incident with y in F as specified in (c);

e) for any two cut vertices y_1 and y_2 of G, the two edges of F incident with y_1 as specified in (c) are distince from those with y_2 as specified in (c).

Proof. If G is $K_{1,s}$, for $s \geq 4$, then G^2 is a complete graph and the result is obvious. Now we assume that G contains at least one cyclic block and $G' = G - M$, where M is a set of all leaves adjacent with all trivial cut vertices of G.

Let $\mathbb{O} = B_1, B_2, ..., B_k$ be an ordering of all blocks of G' such that either $u \in V(B_1)$, if any, or we choose arbitrary cyclic block as B_1, satisfying the following properties:

- for any cut vertex v of G', all children blocks of v with respect to the root r of $BC(G')$ corresponding to B_1 appear consecutively in $\mathbb{O}$ such that bridges containing v are in $\mathbb{O}$ before cyclic blocks containing v;

- $\text{dist}_{BC(G')}(r, v_i) < \text{dist}_{BC(G')}(r, v_j)$ implies $i < j$, where v_i, v_j are vertices of $BC(G')$ corresponding to B_i, B_j, respectively.

Then G' is a connected graph without non-trivial bridges and without bad leaves and we prove by induction on k that $(G')^2$ contains a $[2,4]$-factor F' such that

1) $d_{F'}(x) = 2$ for any vertex x that is not a cut vertex of G;

2) both edges of F' incident with u, if any, belong to B_1;

3) for each cut-vertex y of G', it holds that $d_{F'}(y) = 4$ and at least two edges of F' incident with y belong to G'. Moreover,

   - if y belongs to exactly two blocks of G', then at least two edges of F' incident with y are edges from the children block of y with respect to r (the root of $BC(G')$ corresponding to B_1);
   - if y belongs to more than two blocks of G', then at least two edges of F' incident with y are edges from two different children blocks of y with respect to r.

For $k = 1$, $G' = B_1$ and $(G')^2$ even has a hamiltonian cycle C such that both edges of F' incident with u, if any, belong to B_1 by Theorem 1.

Let $k > 1$ and assume that Lemma 9 is true for all integers less than k. By the definition of G' and $\mathbb{O}$, B_k is an end cyclic block of G' and let v_0 be the cut vertex of G' with $v_0 \in V(B_k)$.

If $B_{k-1} = v_0 l$ (i.e. B_{k-1} is a bridge) and B_{k-1}, B_k are only children blocks of v_0 with respect to r, then we set $G_1 = G' - \{V(B_k) \cup \{l\} \setminus \{v_0\}\}$, otherwise we set $G_2 = G' - \{V(B_k) \setminus \{v_0\}\}$. Hence G_1, G_2 are connected graphs without non-trivial bridges and without bad leaves and have $k-2, k-1$ blocks, respectively. Hence by the induction hypothesis, $(G_1)^2, (G_2)^2$ have a $[2,4]$-factor F_1, F_2 with properties 1), 2), and 3), respectively.

By Theorem 1, there is a Hamiltonian cycle C in $(B_k)^2$ such that two edges f_1, f_2 of C incident with v_0 belong to B_k and thus belong to G'.

Case 1: G_1 exists.

Let $f_1 = v_0v_k$. Then $F' = (F_1 \cup C) + \{v_0l, v_kl\} - \{f_1\}$ is the $[2,4]$-factor of $(G')^2$ with properties 1), 2), and 3).

Case 2: G_1 does not exist and v_0 is not a cut vertex in G_2.

Hence v_0 belongs to exactly two blocks of G' and $F' = F_2 \cup C$ is the $[2,4]$-factor of $(G')^2$ with properties 1), 2), and 3).

Case 3: G_1 does not exist and v_0 is a cut vertex in G_2.

Let $f_1 = v_0v_k$. We consider two possibilities depending on the property 3).

If exactly two blocks of G_2 contain v_0, then by the induction hypothesis $d_{G_2}(v_0) = 4$ and there are two edges of F_2 incident with v_0 from a children block B_{k-1} of v_0. (Note that B_{k-1} is a cyclic block, since G_1 does not exist.) Let $e_{k-1} = v_0v_{k-1}$ be such an edge of F_2. Since $\mathrm{dist}_{G'}(v_{k-1}, v_k) = 2$, the edge $v_{k-1}v_k$ is an edge of $(G_2)^2$. Thus $F' = (F_2 \cup C) + \{v_{k-1}v_k\} - \{e_{k-1}, f_1\}$ is the $[2,4]$-factor of $(G')^2$ with properties 1), 2), and 3).

If there are more than two blocks of G_2 containing v_0, then by the induction hypothesis $d_{G_2}(v_0) = 4$ and there are two edges e_{k-2}, e_{k-1} of F_2 incident with v_0 in B_{k-2}, B_{k-1}, respectively. Let $e_{k-2} = v_0v_{k-2}$. Since $\mathrm{dist}_{G'}(v_{k-2}, v_k) = 2$, the edge $v_{k-2}v_k$ is an edge of $(G_2)^2$. Thus $F' = (F_2 \cup C) + \{v_{k-2}v_k\} - \{e_{k-2}, f_1\}$ is the $[2,4]$-factor of $(G')^2$ with properties 1), 2), and 3).

Now we extend F' to a $[2,4]$-factor F in G^2 with required properties. Note that the properties 1), 2), and 3) imply the properties a)-e) in Lemma 9.

Let $u_1, u_2, ..., u_t$ be all trivial cut vertices of G and $l_i^1, l_i^2, ..., l_i^{s_i}$ be all leaves incident with u_i, for $i = 1, 2, ..., t$. Note that $s_i \geq 2$, otherwise we have a bad bridge in G, a contradiction. For $i = 1, 2, ..., t$, let $C_i = u_i l_i^1 l_i^2 ... l_i^{s_i} u_i$ be cycles in G^2 and $C' = \cup_{j=1}^{t} C_j$. Since $d_{F'}(u_i) = 2$ and $u_i l_i^1, l_i^{s_i} u_i$ are edges from G, $F = F' \cup C'$ is the $[2,4]$-factor of G^2 with properties a)-e). □

Note that clearly the square of $K_{1,2}$, $K_{1,3}$ is hamiltonian but there is no $[2,4]$-factor with a vertex of degree 4 in the square of $K_{1,2}$, $K_{1,3}$, respectively.

3 Proof of Theorem 5

In this section we prove Theorem 5.

Proof. Firstly if G is $K_{1,2}$ or $K_{1,3}$, then clearly G^2 is even hamiltonian.

Now let X be a set of all bad leaves of G and $G' = G - X$. For $x_i \in X$, we denote y_{x_i} or only y_i its unique neighbor in G. By Lemma 9, there is a

[2,4]-factor F' of $(G')^2$ with properties a)-e). Note that $d_{F'}(y_i) = 2$ for each y_i.

By the definition, any two bad leaves have a distance at least 3. Let $X_0 \subseteq X$ be the set of all bad leaves that has a bad leaf at the distance exactly 3 in G. Then, for all $x_i \in X_0$, corresponding y_i's induce a subgraph of G' in which all components (denoted by $H_1, H_2, ..., H_s$) are complete graphs, otherwise we have in G two bad leaves at distance 4, a contradiction.

Let $V(H_i) = \{y_{i,1}, y_{i,2}, ..., y_{i,t_i}\}$, $t_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., s$. Then we set

$$M_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{t_{i-1}} \{x_{i,j}y_{i,j+1}, x_{i,j+1}y_{i,j}\} \bigcup \{x_{i,1}y_{i,1}, x_{i,t_i}y_{i,t_i}\}.$$

All bad leaves of $X \setminus X_0$ are pairwise at distance at least 5 and we divide them into the following three disjoint classes by the following way see Fig. 3 for illustration):



Figure 3: Three cases in an ordering of all bad leaves of $X \setminus X_0$ in G.

1) Let X_1 be a set of all vertices $x \in X \setminus X_0$ such that there exists a vertex z_x with $y_x z_x \in E(F) \cap E(G')$;

2) Let X_2 be a set of all vertices $x \in X \setminus (X_0 \cup X_1)$ such that there exists no cut vertex z_x of G' with $y_x z_x \in E(G')$;

3) Let X_3 be a set of all vertices $x \in X \setminus (X_0 \cup X_1 \cup X_2)$ (it means that there exists only a cut vertex z_x of G' with $y_x z_x \in E(G')$.

Note that by Lemma 9 we have

- $d_{F'}(z_x) = 2$ for $x \in X_2$;

- $d_{F'}(z_x) = 4$ and at least two edges (namely $z_x z'_x, z_x z''_x$) of F' incident with z_x belong to G for $x \in X_3$.

Now set

$$E_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} M_i, \quad E_1 = \bigcup_{x \in X_1} \{xy_x, xz_x\}, \quad E'_1 = \bigcup_{x \in X_1} \{y_x z_x\},$$

$$E_2 = \bigcup_{x \in X_2} \{xy_x, xz_x, y_x z_x\},$$

$$E_3 = \bigcup_{x \in X_3} \{xy_x, xz_x, y_x z'_x\}, \quad E'_3 = \bigcup_{x \in X_3} \{z_x z'_x\}.$$

For all x, z_x's are different, otherwise if $z_x = z_{x'}$, for $x \neq x'$, then $xy_x z_x (= z_{x'}) y_{x'} x'$ is a path of length 4 in G joining two bad leaves, a contradiction. Similarly, none of z_x's is a neighbor of a bad leaf in G.

Possibly, $z_{x_{i_1}} z_{x_{i_2}} ... z_{x_{i_k}}$ is a path in F' for $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_k}\} \subseteq X_3$. In order to have different edges in E_3 and E'_3 we set $z'_{x_j} = z_{x_{j+1}}$, for $j = i_1, i_2, ..., i_{k-1}$, and $z'_{x_{i_k}}$ as arbitrary neighbor of $z_{x_{i_k}}$ in F' and in G different from $z_{x_{i_{k-1}}}$. Note that by 3) and Lemma 9 such a vertex exists and could be some z_{x_j}, for $j \in \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_{k-2}\}$.

Hence we conclude that $F = F' + (E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3) - (E'_1 \cup E'_3)$ is a [2,4]-factor of G^2. □

4 Conclusion

Now we could answer the question from Introduction. By Theorem 1 we know that the square of 2-connected graph has a $[2, 2s]$-factor for $s = 1$. In this paper we proved that the square of 2-edge-connected graph has a $[2, 2s]$-factor for $s = 2$ (Corollary 6) and that the square of essentially 2-edge-connected graph without bad leaves has a $[2, 2s]$-factor also for $s = 2$ (Corollary 7). In general, there exist essentially 2-edge-connected graphs whose square have no $[2, 2s]$-factor for every s. This example of G even exists under an additional condition that the graph obtained from G by deleting all leaves is 2-connected (Theorem 4).

Acknowledgements.

This work was supported by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), project NTIS - New Technologies for Information Society, European Centre of Excellence, CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0090.

The first author was supported by project GA14-19503S of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

The second author was supported by NSFC (No.11161046) and by Xinjiang Talent Youth Project (No.2013721012).

The third author was supported by NSFC (No.11471037) and also by Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No.20131101110048).

References

[1] F. Duan, W. Zhang, and G. Wang, Connected even factors in $\{K_{1,\ell}, K_{1,\ell} + e\}$-free graphs, Ars Combinatoria, 115 (2014), 385-389.

[2] J. Ekstein, Hamiltonian cycles in the square of a graph, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 18 (2011), #P203.

[3] J. Ekstein, P. Holub, T. Kaiser, L. Xiong, and S. Zhang, Star subdivisions and connected even factors in the square of a graph, Discrete Mathematics 312 (2012), 2574-2578.

[4] M. El Kadi Abderrezzak, E. Flandrin, and Z. Ryjáček, Induced $S(K_{1,3})$ and hamiltonian cycles in the square of a graph, Discrete Mathematics 207 (1999), 263-269.

[5] R. J. Faudree and R. H. Schelp, The square of a block is strongly path connected, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 20 (1976), 47-61.

[6] H. Fleischner, In the square of graphs, Hamiltonicity and pancyclicity, hamiltonian connectedness and panconnectedness are equivalent concepts, Monatshefte für Mathematik 82 (1976), 125-149.

[7] R. J. Gould and M. S. Jacobson, Forbidden Subgraphs and Hamiltonian Properties in the Square of a Connected Graph, Journal of Graph Theory 8 (1984), 147-154.

[8] G. Hendry and W. Vogler, The square of a $S(K_{1,3})$ - free graph is vertex pancyclic, Journal of Graph Theory 9 (1985), 535-537.

[9] G. Chartrand, A. M. Hobbs, H. A. Jung, S. F. Kapoor, and C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams, The square of a block is Hamiltonian connected, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 16 (1974), 290-292.

[10] G. L. Chia, S. Ong, and L. Y. Tan: On graphs whose square have strong hamiltonian properties, Discrete Mathematics 309 (2009), 4608-4613.

[11] M.C. Li, L. Xiong and H.J. Broersma, Connected even factors in claw-free graphs, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008), 2282-2284.

[12] J. Müttel and D. Rautenbach, A short proof of the versatile version of Fleischners theorem, Discrete Mathematics 313 (2013), 1929-1933.

[13] F. Odile and K. Mekkia, Even factors of larger size, J. Graph Theory 77 (2014), 58-67.

[14] Paris Underground, On Graphs with Hamiltonian squares, Discrete Mathematics 21 (1987), 323.

[15] D. B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Second ed. PrinticeHall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2001.